**Communication Studies**

**Evergreen Valley College**

**Program Review Feedback Form**

**Date:** April 20, 2010

**Reviewed by:** IEC on 4\_19\_10

**SUMMARY OF THE DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM**

**Did the Review Team clearly articulate summary?** YES **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed.**

**Did the Review Team clearly identify and assess strength and areas of improvements in relevant areas?** No - Mata, Yes – Narveson **Were there action plans included that would address areas of improvement?** No, Mata  **If not, provide concrete feedback on information needed in specific section.** Doesn’t provide any strengths or weaknesses to the overall program. What makes this program unique to this campus? Bragging rights? Awards? - Mata

**PART A: Overview of Program**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes- Mata, Somewhat – Narveson **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.** Not sure what they needed - Narveson

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No, Mata **If not, identify areas and information needed.** It would be beneficial to the program to create action plans to the unmet goals referring to question #4. The statements assume that these goals will not be continued. Under the program’s CTAs, PPD should be PDD. KinderCaminita should be KinderCaminata. Perhaps establishing a relationship with the local Toastmasters or Rotary Club of San Jose could entice the program to develop an advisory board. Otherwise those could serve as great collaborators to the program. - Mata

**PART B: CURRICULUM**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** YES **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Not really. – Mata, N/A – Narveson  **If not, identify areas and information needed.** No action plan is given for new courses in the dept. Only states reason for not implementing them. - Mata

**PART C: STUDENT OUTCOMES**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** None listed. – Mata, N/A - Narveson  **If not, identify areas and information needed**.

**PART D: FACULTY AND STAFF**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Yes. **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes. **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** Not listed. – Mata, Yes – Narveson **If not, identify areas and information needed**.

**PART E: FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND MAINTENANCE**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** No. – Mata, Yes - Narveson  **If not, identify additional information needed.** Identify what types of improvements could be made to the classrooms as noted on question #1. – Mata

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed**.

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No. – Mata, N/A – Narveson  **If not, identify areas and information needed.** List an action plan that addresses the issues of for needed improvements in the classroom. - Mata

**PART F: FUTURE NEEDS**

**Did the Review Team answer all questions thoroughly?** Not really- Mata, Yes – Narveson **If not, identify additional information needed.**

**Did the Review Team provide necessary culture of evidence (data, references, etc.)?** Yes **If not, identify additional information and analysis needed.**

**Did the Review Team include action plans for areas that need improvements?** No, Mata**,** Yes – Narveson **If not, identify areas and information needed.** Needs assessments were established with no action plans offered. Specify the types of software needed. Explain how electronic equipment can be used in the classroom. – Mata

**PART G: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Provide concrete questions or feedback if needed**. Looks good – Narveson

4/19/10 IEC comments:

- Expand courses for non-native speakers of English. Include more “multicultural communication” – global focus

- Identify strength + weakness of department

- It’s hard to understand exactly what they do

- Align unmet goals or new initiatives so faculty can continue to address

- Consider expanding “Communication’s” role beyond human communications (verbal skills) include media, technology influence or impact

- There’s no concrete plans to improve the departments. We need them to develop a vision.